arXiv:1209.0516v1 [cs.LO] 4 Sep 2012

When is Metric Temporal Logic Expressively Complete?

Paul Hunter Joël Ouaknine James Worrell

September 5, 2012

Abstract

It is known that Metric Temporal Logic (MTL) with integer endpoints is unable to express first-order logic (FO) with a unary function +1; but with rational constants MTL and FO have the same expressive power. In this short article we characterize the set of constants necessary and sufficient for MTL and FO to have the same expressive power. In particular we show that MTL with irrational endpoints is as expressive as FO with irrational unary addition functions.

1 Introduction

MTL with integer endpoints cannot express FO with +1.
MTL with rational endpoints can express FO with $\{+q \,:\, q \in \mathbb{Q}\}$.
What about MTL with endpoints from $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}] = \{a + b\sqrt{2} \,:\, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$? Can it express FO with +1 and $+\sqrt{2}$? Unlike rational case cannot "scale" and consider FO with +1.

2 Preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a non-empty set of reals. Denote by $\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{K})$ the set of finite, possibly empty, linear combinations (over $\mathbb{Z}$) of elements of $\mathcal{K}$. That is,

$$\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{K}) := \{a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \ldots + a_nx_n \,:\, n \in \mathbb{N},\, a_i \in \mathbb{Z},\, x_i \in \mathcal{K}\}.$$

Denote by $\mathsf{MTL}_{\mathcal{K}}$ the Metric Temporal Logic with endpoints taken from $\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{K})$ and denote by $\mathsf{FO}_{\mathcal{K}}$ the first-order logic of order with monadic predicates and unary functions $\{+x \,:\, x \in \mathcal{K}\}$. Note that $\mathsf{FO}_{\mathcal{K}} = \mathsf{FO}_{\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{K})}$.

3 Main result

Our main result is the following complete characterization of when $\mathsf{MTL}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is expressively complete.

Theorem 1 *$\mathsf{MTL}_{\mathcal{K}}$ has the same expressive power as $\mathsf{FO}_{\mathcal{K}}$ if, and only if, $\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{K})$ is dense.*

To illustrate the power of this theorem, let us consider some examples:

Corollary 2

- *$\mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ is not dense so $\mathsf{MTL}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is not as expressive as $\mathsf{FO}_{\mathbb{Z}}$.*
- *$\mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}$ is dense so $\mathsf{MTL}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is as expressive as $\mathsf{FO}_{\mathbb{Q}}$.*
- *$\mathbb{Z}(\{1, \sqrt{2}\}) = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ is dense so MTL with endpoints taken from $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ is as expressive as FO with unary functions $+1$ and $+\sqrt{2}$.*

4 The non-dense case

We first consider the case when $\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{K})$ is not dense. We show that in this case $\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{K})$ is a scaling of the integers, so the inexpressibility result follows from the inexpressibility of MTL over the integers.

We first need to show that $\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{K})$ does not contain any limit points if it is not dense.

Lemma 3 *If $\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{K})$ is not dense then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $|B_\epsilon(x)| = 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{K})$.*

Suppose for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{K})$ such that $0 < x - y < \epsilon$. Take any $a < b \in \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{K})$. Then there exists $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{K})$ such that $0 < x - y < b - a$. That is,

$$a < a + x - y < b.$$

However, as $a, x, y \in \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{K})$, it follows that $a + x - y \in \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{K})$. Thus $\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{K})$ is dense.

We now extend this result to show that not only is $\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{K})$ discrete, but its elements are uniformly separated.

Proposition 4 *If $\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{K})$ is not dense then $\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{K}) = \epsilon \cdot \mathbb{Z}$ for some $\epsilon > 0$.*

As $\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{K})$ is not dense, it contains $\alpha \neq 0$, and without loss of generality, we may assume $\alpha > 0$. From Lemma 3, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|B_\delta(x)| = 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{K})$. It follows that

$$1 \leq |\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{K}) \cap (0, \alpha]| \leq \frac{\alpha}{\delta}.$$

Let ϵ be the smallest element of $\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{K}) \cap (0, \alpha]$, so ϵ is the smallest positive element of $\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{K})$.

We claim $\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{K}) = \epsilon \cdot \mathbb{Z}$. Clearly as $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{K})$, $\epsilon \cdot \mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{K})$. Now take any $x \in \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{K})$ and let $n = \lfloor \frac{x}{\epsilon} \rfloor$ and $\beta = x - n \cdot \epsilon$. From the definition of n, $0 \leq \beta < \epsilon$. As $x, \epsilon \in \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{K})$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, it follows that $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{K})$. From the definition of ϵ, it follows that $\beta = 0$, so $x = n \cdot \epsilon$. Thus $\epsilon \cdot \mathbb{Z} \supseteq \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{K})$.

Theorem 5 *If $\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{K})$ is not dense then $\mathsf{MTL}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is not as expressive as $\mathsf{FO}_{\mathcal{K}}$.*

From Proposition 4, $\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{K}) = \epsilon \cdot \mathbb{Z}$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. It follows by scaling by $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ that if $\mathsf{MTL}_{\mathcal{K}}$ were as expressive as $\mathsf{FO}_{\mathcal{K}}$ then $\mathsf{MTL}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is as expressive as $\mathsf{FO}_{\mathbb{Z}}$, which is a contradiction.

5 The dense case

Works similar to rational proof: divide formula into bounded and distant past/future (where constants can be ignored). Points for consideration:

- Cannot scale to FO(+1) – consider finite set of constants appearing in each formula
- Stacking problematic – need to introduce "milestone constants" in $[0, 1)$ and range variables over each of these subintervals. Only need to consider a bounded number of milestones dependent on quantifier depth (number of variables/largest constant constructible).
- Break points can be chosen using the density rather than $\frac{1}{2n}$.